

**MINUTES OF WORK SESSION
OF THE NEWPORT NEWS CITY COUNCIL
HELD IN THE 10TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
2400 WASHINGTON AVENUE**

June 11, 2019

4:05 p.m.

PRESENT: Tina L. Vick; Dr. Patricia P. Woodbury; Sandra N. Cherry, D. Min.; Marcellus L. Harris III; David H. Jenkins; McKinley L. Price, DDS; and Sharon P. Scott, MPA-

-----7

ABSENT: None-----0

OTHERS PRESENT: Cynthia D. Rohlf; Collins L. Owens; Mabel Washington Jenkins; Kristin Chhim; Darlene Bradberry; Lisa Cipriano; Cory Cloud; Constantinos Velissarios; Keith Ferguson; Maria Abilar; Shelia McAllister; Flora Chioros; Susan Goodwin; Florence Kingston; Derek Perry; Brian Rowe; Thomas Retnauer; Sandra Baynes; Melissa Venable; Matthew Johnson; William Roche; Everett Skipper; Venerria Thomas; Sonia Alcantara-Antoine; Louis Martinez; Steve Carpenter; Jeffrey Johnson; Steve Drew; Keith Webb; Dale Goode; Jannie Bazemore; James Lovette; Pam Hall; Alan Archer; David Freeman; Cleder Jones; Kim Lee; Eoghan Miller; Zina Middleton; and Josh Reyes

I. Closed Meeting

(4:05 p.m. – 5:10 p.m.)

Endview Development Announcement

City Manager Rohlf shared that the Economic Development Authority (EDA) of the City of Newport News, Virginia (EDA) had selected WeldenField Development, LLC and its Hampton Roads-based Partner, Brian Rowe with WeldenField & Rowe as the Preferred Developer for its Endview tract. The Endview tract was a 285-acre parcel located at 350 Yorktown Road in northern Newport News. The EDA had selected WeldenField Development to plan, design, build and market a premier, predominantly residential community on the Endview tract. She advised that the EDA was very impressed with WeldenField Development, LLC proposal, their approach to the development, the recognition of the historical significates, the importance of the environment, and community engagement. WeldenField Development, LLC had a diverse portfolio and talented team. She introduced the Mr. Brian Rowe, Partner, WeldenField Development, LLC.

Mr. Rowe advised that he and his team were very excited and enthused with the opportunity to take the Endview property and develop it into a neighborhood that could be one of a kind on the east coast. He indicated he was excited about working with the City of Newport News and the EDA. Mr. Rowe introduced his team: Ms. Melissa Venable, President, Land Planning Solutions; Mr. Tom Retnauer, President, Retnauer Baynes Associates; and Ms. Sandra Baynes, CEO/CFO, Retnauer Baynes Associates.

City Manager Rohlf advised that City Council would be kept updated as the EDA/IDA outlined the community engagement process. City Council would be given the opportunity to visit some of the projects done by WeldenField Development, LLC to see the variety and quality of the projects done.

Councilwoman Woodbury shared there could be some push back from some of the residents because of the historical significance of the property. City Council would like to see what WeldenField Development, LLC had done with historical and unique property. Mr. Rowe replied he would be happy to show some of the unique projects done. City Manager Rohlf advised there was a firm from Williamsburg consulting on the historical part of the project. Mr. Rowe replied that was correct, the firm Cultural Resource Management, LLC would be a consultant on the project.

Ms. Baynes shared that Retnauer Baynes Associates had worked on a number of projects that had historical significance, and maintain the value while working with historical societies.

Councilwoman Scott advised, as a representative for the North District, she would like to see what was planned for community engagement, in order for her to inform residents in the North District. Mr. Rowe replied yes, members of the WeldenField Development, LLC would ensure that she would be kept up-to-date on the planned community engagement. Councilwoman Scott requested that WeldenField Development, LLC be mindful of minority participation, which was very important to this diverse City. Mr. Rowe replied that WeldenField Development, LLC would be mindful of the request for minority participation.

II. Fiscal Year 2020 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Update

City Manager Rohlf shared that there would be a revised overview of the FY 2020 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) and provide specific project details, solicit input, and address any concerns. She reminded, at the One-day City Council Financial Retreat which was held October 12, 2018, City Council saw the association between the CIP and Financial connection, and what it meant to the Operating Budget, as well as the capacity of what the City could and could not afford. During that same time, the City went out and got funding for two (2) projects that were outside of

the CIP, at approximately \$80 million, which had to be taken into consideration. She shared the City wanted to wait about CNI to ensure all the financial commits would be available. As previously mentioned, and with input from other financial/budget discussions with City Council, the revised CIP recommendations were available for presentation. The Capital Budget funds were available for appropriations for the first year. The other outlying years were a part of the plan, but those items were more interchangeable. City Manager Rohlf introduced Ms. Lisa Cipriano, Director, Department of Budget and Evaluation, to provide opening remarks, to be followed by Mr. Cory Cloud, Senior Budget Analyst, Lead Analyst, Department of Budget and Evaluation, to provide an updated FY 2020 Capital Improvements Plan (CIP).

Ms. Lisa Cipriano advised that the presentation on the revised Recommended FY 2020 Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) was based on information discussed at the October 12, 2018 City Council Financial Retreat, and was about capacity and ability (a copy of the presentation, “The Revised Recommended FY 2020 Capital Improvements Plan”, is attached and made a part of these minutes). While margining the two (2) years together, and looking long-term, the debt capacity was reviewed, and the City was guarded by financial policies within the current revenue stream (meaning the tax rates). Ms. Cipriano introduced Mr. Cory Cloud.

Mr. Cloud advised that the primary focus was geared at the first year of the CIP. He noted it was a multi-year plan, but the first year of each CIP would be adopted by City Council, and brought forth for appropriations.

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Revised CIP General Fund – General Obligations Bonds by category were as follows:

	FY 2020 Recommended <u>Amount¹</u>	FY 2020 Revised <u>Amount</u>	<u>Difference</u>
General Fund (in millions)			
Public Buildings	\$23.8	\$ 9.6	\$(14.2)
Parks and Recreation	4.1	4.6	0.5
Streets and Bridges	14.0	11.3	(2.7)
Community Development	13.2	5.0	(8.2)
Schools ²	15.9	10.0	(5.9)
Equipment	2.5	1.8	(0.7)
General Fund Total	\$73.5	\$42.3	\$(31.2)

¹Reflects combined FY 2019 and FY 2020 General Obligation Bonds as shown in the FY 2019 CIP.

²Includes \$6.9 million of FY 2019 General Obligation Bonds for Schools. An appropriation for \$6.4 million was approved in January 2019 using the remaining balance from the City's FY 2018 Bond Authorization.

Councilwoman Woodbury questioned the bond amount for the FY 2019 CIP. Mr. Cloud replied the FY 2019 CIP was \$31.2 million and FY 2020 CIP was \$42.3 million. Councilwoman Woodbury questioned the bond increase. Ms. Cipriano replied the FY 2020 CIP would have been \$73.5 million; however, the FY 2020 CIP was split to show what would be spent in FY 2019 CIP and what would be spent in FY 2020 CIP, while some of the funding may be moved to outer years.

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager's FY 2020 Revised CIP General Fund – General Obligations Bonds for FY 2020 major changes were as follows:

- **Public Buildings net reduction of \$14.2 million:**
 - Denbigh Community Center Phase II – project delayed; \$8.9 million was scheduled for design and construction in FY 2019 and FY 2020
 - Dispatch Center (Joint facility with Hampton) – project no longer considered
 - Virgil I. Grissom Library – project delayed; \$6 million was scheduled for construction in FY 2020

Councilwoman Scott advised that she respected what City Manager Rohlf and the staff of the Budget Department were attempting to do and the recommendations; however, the deletion and/or delay of the Denbigh Community Center Phase II from the CIP was unacceptable. She noted that Phase II of the project had been pending since 2005. She did not agree with the delay and had real concerns. She shared that Denbigh had not gotten anything in the past 20 years. For the 17 years she had been on City Council, Denbigh had gotten one Fire Station, the Denbigh Community Center and a baseball complex. The North District was not postured for a lot of redevelopment or development because land was not available. She advised now they were in a position to do some things and she would not agree with the North District deletions from the FY 2019 – FY 2020 CIP. City Manager Rohlf noted Councilwoman Scott's concerns.

Councilwoman Scott shared that she had three (3) years left on her term of office and wanted to break ground on the Denbigh Community Center Phase II project before FY 2022.

- **Parks and Recreation net increase of \$501,000:**
 - Stoney Run Park – increased by \$1.2 million; moved the Stoney Run Park Master Plan forward
 - Athletic Field Lighting – increased by \$150,000 to address upgrading the lighting at various fields citywide
 - Deer Park Ranger Station/Restroom Replacement – project delayed; \$150,000 was scheduled for design in FY 2020
 - Parks and Recreation Facilities/Roadway Improvements – decreased by \$864,000; based on current needs and acceleration of other projects

Councilman Harris advised that he was pleased with the upgrade of the lighting on various athletic fields citywide.

Councilwoman Scott inquired whether there would be funds available to repair for the Deer Park Ranger Station/Restroom Replacement to bring it up to an acceptable standard. She shared that Deer Park Playground was for children who were physically challenged. Ms. Cipriano replied those funds were for a complete structure replacement, it would be very difficult to invest in changes and a couple years later, do a station/restroom replacement.

- **Streets and Bridges reduction of \$2.7 million:**
 - Most projects were funded annually; therefore, most projects were reduced to reflect one year of funding or less, depending on current project needs
 - Transportation Major Match – funding provided based on revenue sharing agreement
- **Community Development reduction of \$8.2 million:**
 - City Center at Oyster Point Projects – funding of \$1 million delayed
 - Denbigh Area/Warwick Boulevard Development – no additional funding provided
 - Tech Center at Oyster Point/Jefferson Lab Research – no new funding provided; FY 2020 funding reflected in Streets and Bridges Transportation Major Match

Councilwoman Scott indicated, as the City moved forward, an update was needed on the Tech Center. City Manager Rohlf replied that the Tech Center Master Plan would be presented at the June 25, 2019 City Council Work Session.

Councilwoman Woodbury questioned what was approved by the Planning Commission without a Master Plan. City Manager Rohlf replied the Planning Commission had approved Building One without a Master Plan. The Planning Commission moved forward on Building One, in order to begin work on the rest of the park - Building Two, Three, Four and the SCOT Center relocation had to happen, there were no development agreements signed to date. The City anticipated receiving a match from the State for work associated with roads, sidewalks, and trails.

Councilwoman Cherry advised the City needed to get a handle on what was going on at the Tech Center and with the Master Plan. She shared that she did not vote in support of the Tech Center before and really had concerns now. City Manager Rohlf replied that City Council would have the Master Plan in the hands of City Council at the June 25, 2019 Work Session for an overview, and on the Regular Meeting of the same evening, for action.

Councilwoman Scott questioned what was left of the Denbigh Area/Warwick Boulevard Development funds. She inquired whether everything had stopped after the study, and everyone got excited about the possibilities. City Manager Rohlf replied, as part of the process, staff looked at existing funds, items allocated in the past, what was on the horizon, what the City needed to spend, and some of the recommendations, which were based on the current funding and move forward without that extra infusion of dollars. She indicated staff went year-by-year, dollar-by-dollar, and project-by-project to determine how the dollars could be maximized, and what was being done within the current dollars. Ms. Cipriano advised there would be some money available in FY 2020 to zoom in on what the committee would act on.

- **Schools - net increase of \$500,221 (*adjusted to reflect the FY 2019 CIP appropriation of \$6.4 million*):**
 - Facility Renovation and Improvements – funding of \$965,003 added to recommended FY 2020 projects to bring School CIP Bond Funded Projects to \$10 million

City Manager Rohlf advised the increased funding was in addition to the \$2.4 million that City Council approved.

- **Equipment - net reduction of \$700,000:**
 - RMS/JMS/CAD Replacement – added \$800,000 to fully fund project costs

- o 911 Phone System – \$1.5 million removed from plan; phone system would be upgraded through a leasing agreement included in the City’s IT operating budget

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Recommended CIP Percentage of General Fund – General Obligations Bonds were as follows:

General Fund (in millions)	FY 2020 Recommended Amount	Percentage
Public Buildings	\$23.8	32%
Parks and Recreation	4.1	6%
Streets and Bridges	14.0	19%
Community Development	13.2	18%
Schools ₂	15.9	22%
Equipment	2.5	3%

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Revised CIP Percentage of General Fund – General Obligations Bonds were as follows:

General Fund (in millions)	FY 2020 Revised Amount	Percentage
Public Buildings	\$ 9.6	23%
Parks and Recreation	4.6	11%
Streets and Bridges	11.3	27%
Community Development	5.0	12%
Schools ₂	10.0	23%
Equipment	1.8	4%

Mr. Cloud indicated that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Recommended CIP General Fund – General Obligation Bonds were \$73 million and in the FY 2020 Revised CIP General Fund – General Obligation Bonds were \$42 million.

Mr. Cloud noted the impact of the CIP on the General Fund Operating Budget, with the projected annual debt payments, based on approved FY 2019 CIP, was \$41 million in existing debt service, \$46 million in FY 2020; and with \$51 million projected CIP debt service in FY 2021.

Mr. Cloud noted the impact of the CIP on the General Fund Operating Budget, with the projected annual debt payments, based on Revised FY 2020 CIP, was \$41 million in existing debt service for FY 2019, \$46 million in FY 2020; and with \$49 million projected CIP debt service in FY 2021.

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Revised CIP General Fund – Cash Capital by category were as follows:

	FY 2020 Recommended <u>Amount</u>	FY 2020 Revised <u>Amount</u>	<u>Difference</u>
General Fund (in millions)			
Community Development	\$ 530,000	\$ 730,000	\$ 200,000
Environmental	225,000	100,000	(125,000)
Transit	81,000	-0-	(81,000)
Equipment	1,566,150	1,166,150	(400,000)
Parks and Recreation	300,000	450,000	150,000
Public Buildings	2,144,000	450,000	(1,694,000)
Schools	2,000,000	4,400,000	2,400,000
Streets and Bridges	2,050,000	1,600,000	(450,000)
General Fund Total	\$8,896,150	\$8,896,150	-0-

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Revised CIP General Fund – Cash Capital for FY 2020 Major Changes were as follows:

- **Community Development - increase of \$200,000:**
 - Maritime Economic Development Projects
- **Environmental - reduction of \$125,000:**
 - Emergency Response/Environmental Remediation – no additional funding provided

- HVAC System Environmental Assessment/Sustainability Projects – reduced funding
- **Transit - reduction of \$81,000:**
 - One ACCESSIBLE City – Hampton Roads Transit (HRT) Bus Stop American Disability Act (ADA) Improvement Program – no additional funding provided
- **Equipment - reduction of \$400,000:**
 - Voice over IP (Phone System Upgrade) – no additional funding provided
- **Parks and Recreation - net increase of \$150,000:**
 - City Farm – included funding of \$300,000

Councilwoman Woodbury inquired about funding provided for City Farm. Ms. Cipriano replied yes, in the form of seed money. City Manager Rohlf shared whether it would be for clean-up or to start a trail, but it was a placeholder to start for future years.

Councilwoman Cherry questioned whether City Council would get an archaeological report, and if so, when? Mr. William Roche, Assistant Director, Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism, replied that the archaeological report would be available December 31, 2019. City Manager Rohlf advised that the archaeological study was ongoing and City Council would get a report upon completion of the study. Councilwoman Cherry questioned whether City Council could get an archaeological report before December 31, 2019. Mr. Roche replied the archaeological study would be completed December 31, 2019, but may be able to get an update earlier than the completion of the study.

Councilwoman Scott questioned whether anything of significance was discovered. City Manager Rohlf replied nothing of significance, but some artifacts and interesting items were uncovered.

- **Public Buildings - reduction of \$1,694,000:**
 - City Hall Renovations – no funding provided; re-evaluating renovation plans
 - Parking Lot and Facility Paving – no additional funding provided

- Security Camera System Additions/Replacement/Maintenance – funding included in Security Service’s Departmental Operating Budget
- **Schools - increase of \$2,400,000 million:**
 - Facility Renovations and Improvements – one-time funding based on School Board requested increase in School’s Operating Budget for maintenance:
 - Gym floors (Achievable Dream Middle/High School, Heritage High School, and Passage Middle School)
 - Auditorium ceiling (Denbigh High School)
 - Casework (Achievable Dream High School)
 - Loading dock (Gildersleeve Middle School)
 - HVAC supplies/equipment at various schools
- **Streets and Bridges - net reduction of \$450,000:**
 - Citywide Concrete Replacement Projects – no additional funding provided

Councilwoman Woodbury questioned whether a reduction in funding would create safety concerns with the aging bridges and roads throughout the City. Mr. Cloud shared the bridge where included in the General Obligation Bond category, the reduction was from Cash Capital. City Manager Rohlf replied no, reductions would not create a safety concern, nor jeopardize the safety of the citizens.

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Recommended CIP Percentage of General Fund – Cash Capital were as follows:

General Fund (in millions)	FY 2020 Recommended <u>Amount</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Public Buildings	\$2,144,000	24%
Streets and Bridges	2,050,000	23%
Schools	2,000,000	22%
Equipment	1,566,150	18%
Community Development	530,000	6%
Parks and Recreation	300,000	3%

Environmental	225,000	3%
Transit	81,000	1%

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Revised CIP Percentage of General Fund – Cash Capital were as follows:

General Fund (in millions)	<u>FY 2020 Revised Amount</u>	<u>Percentage</u>
Public Buildings	\$ 450,000	5%
Streets and Bridges	1,600,000	18%
Schools	4,400,000	50%
Equipment	1,166,150	13%
Community Development	730,000	8%
Parks and Recreation	450,000	5%
Environmental	100,000	1%

Councilwoman Cherry questioned how the funding for the schools would affect the SCOT Center. Mr. Cloud replied that Cash Capital did not include the SCOT Center, so the SCOT Center would not be affected.

Mr. Cloud advised that the City Manager’s FY 2020 Revised CIP Self Supporting Funds – Cash Capital for FY 2020 by category were as follows:

	<u>FY 2020 Recommended Amount</u>	<u>FY 2020 Revised Amount</u>	<u>Difference</u>
Self-Supporting Fund (in millions)			
Sewer Rehabilitations	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Stormwater Drainage	2.9	8.0	5.1
Waterworks	9.2	9.2	-
Self-Supporting Fund Total	\$12.1	\$17.2	\$5.1

Mr. Cloud advised of the Compliance with Financial Policies were as follows:

- **Policy:** Unassigned Fund Balance should be a minimum of **7.5%** of actual General Fund Revenues from the previous fiscal year.
 - **Current Ratios:** 12.1%
 - **Projected FY 2020 Ratios:** N/A
- **Policy:** Debt burden ratio below **3.0%** (outstanding general obligation debt to taxable real and personal property).
 - **Current Ratios:** 2.5%
 - **Projected FY 2020 Ratios:** 2.7%
- **Policy:** At least **20%** of CIP is funded with cash capital. Equipment and infrastructure costing less than \$250,000 is cash funded.
 - **Current Ratios:** N/A
 - **Projected FY 2020 Ratios:** 23.4%₁
- **Policy:** Ratio of debt service obligations to General Fund/School revenue shall not exceed **9.5%**.
 - **Current Ratios:** 8.2% (FY 2020)
 - **Projected FY 2020 Ratios:** 8.7% (FY 2021)
- **Policy:** No less than **30%** of outstanding general obligation debt is scheduled to be repaid within five years, and no less than **60%** is scheduled to be repaid within ten years.
 - **Current Ratios:** 40% in five (5) years and 70% in ten (10) years
 - **Projected FY 2020 Ratios:** 39% in five (5) years and 68% in ten (10) years

□ *Cash Capital includes the addition of \$4.0 million funded through the City's Operating budget (\$2.5 million for Vehicle Replacement and \$1.5 million for IT Investment)*

With regards to Compliance with Financial Policies, Councilwoman Woodbury questioned whether the reduction and/or increases would affect the City's bond rating. Mr. Cipriano replied with the debt burden and ratio of debt the City was pushing against the limit with the revised CIP, and reminded every time the City borrowed money, it reduced the City's capacity in the operating budget to do one (1) year operating opportunities. She advised with the \$42 million CIP, the City was at the top part of the City's limit and comfort level, which was the reason to review and revise the FY 2020 CIP.

Councilwoman Scott questioned what would be the impact to borrow \$40 million to complete some projects, rather than piecing together project. She inquired whether that would change the City's bond rating. Ms. Cipriano replied the City borrowed \$82 million in January 2019 and hoped that the \$82 million bond would last until July 2020. There would be a bond authorization available during the summer of 2019 for City Council to approve projects after City Council approved the FY 2020. Ms. Cipriano indicated if the City when out for FY 2019 and FY 2020, which was the \$73 million, the City's debt services would go from \$46 million to \$51 million in one (1) year or \$10 million in two (2) years. Councilwoman Scott questioned whether that would change the City's bond rating. Ms. Cipriano replied the bond rating agencies would question the City's stability. City Manager Rohlf noted that bond rating agencies would characterize that as high debt loan/debt manageable. Councilwoman Scott explained that a lot of the projects looming were high dollar projects, and City Council was forced to sacrifice one project and move another project. She stated there had to be another way of getting some of the high dollar projects completed (i.e. Huntington Middle School, Warwick High School, Denbigh High School) which was \$40 - \$60 million for each project. City Manager Rohlf replied it came down to the available resources, and the only resource was the tax rate. She indicated that she did not wish to send a message that this was financially problematic, because it was not. When looking at other projects, staff needed to right side the CIP and Operating Budget, and needed to make the cutbacks to ensure the City was within a comfort level. The City was not in bad financial position, rather was planning ahead.

Vice Mayor Vick advised that Governor Ralph Northam and State Legislators were looking at being able to find other resources for public schools throughout Virginia. The City of Newport News needed to show support and inform Governor Northam and State Legislators how much the funding was needed.

City Manager Rohlf revisited Councilwoman Scott's question about borrowing additional funds, and advised it was not about the projects, but was about the debt service and the balance. If the projects were all completed, and borrowed an additional \$40 million in the operating budget, the City would not be able to do anything (i.e. new initiatives, new programs, operating cost at some of the facilities, employee raises, additional school funding, cutback in different programs), because that was where the impact was felt. She advised debt service was a legal obligation and came off the top. The City had been fortunate and was able to repurpose and make adjustments to live within its means. At some point, as part of the conversation, City Manager Rohlf anticipated discussing revenue and resources, which had to be apart of the equation. Ms. Cipriano indicated that when the City went out to borrow, that was a 20 year obligation. City Manager Rohlf shared there was a model in place that showed impact on the tax rate when adding projects. Vice Mayor Vick replied she would like to see the model.

Councilwoman Woodbury indicated that City Council had to prioritize and be realistic about what could be approved for the good of the entire City, and be One City. She wanted the resources to be good for all, but had to prioritize what the City found affordable.

Councilwoman Cherry indicated that she understood that projects had to be prioritized, but Huntington Middle School still needed to be rebuilt. City Manager Rohlf replied the rebuilding of HMS was listed on the FY 2020 – FY 2024 City Manager’s Revised CIP General Fund – GOB Funded Projects at \$37 million (a copy of the presentation, “FY 2020 – FY 2024 City Manager’s Revised CIP General Fund – GOB Funded Projects”, is attached and made a part of these minutes). Councilwoman Cherry questioned whether the \$37 million was for the school or entire campus. City Manager Rohlf replied only for the school.

City Manager Rohlf shared there would be a Joint Meeting with the School Board on June 25, 2019 at the City Council Work Session and part of the conversation would include the campus type approach and design of what the school campus should look like. There could be a community center in the out year as part of the campus. The campus consisted of a seven (7) block area with a September timeframe as far as a Master Plan and street closure. With the added one-third tract there would be better amenities. She was advised that the conceptual design included the entire site. The \$2.8 million was on the City side, not funded on the school side.

Councilwoman Cherry indicated, while discussing the bond rate, it was the comment about low income. City Manager Rohlf replied that was the initiative in the Operating Budget to put funding toward the Brooks Crossing Workforce Center, the jobs the City was trying to create which was a part of the Economic Development. The way to begin changing, and stop getting the same comment, every year was called Community Wealth Building. She advised that community Wealth Building was not just for the bond rating, but for the overall economic health of communities throughout the entire City.

Ms. Cipriano advised that the FY 2020 – FY 2024 City Manager’s Revised CIP General Fund – GOB Funded Projects actual five (5) year plan – project-by-project, and the year each project would be funded (a copy of the presentation, “FY 2020 – FY 2024 City Manager’s Revised CIP General Fund – GOB Funded Projects”, is attached and made a part of these minutes). She indicated, following numerous discussions at City Council Work Sessions, the FY 2024 CIP was intentionally left blank, which was how to give the capacity to move projects further out. It was not always desirable, but something necessary to smooth the debt and smooth the funding issues and be able to give capacity for growth and for larger or substitute projects. The Cash Capital was funded from the General Operating Budget and was set, and would begin July 1, 2019.

III. Comments / Ideas / Suggestions

Councilwoman Scott thanked the City Manager Rohlf, her staff and the Department of Budget and Evaluations, and staff, for all of the work on the revised FY 2020 Capital Improvement Plan, even though she disagreed that the North District projects should not have been deleted.

Vice Mayor Vick indicated there was a long road ahead with the implementation of the CNI; however, she attended a CNI Community Celebration, held on Saturday, June 8, 2019, 11:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m., at the Ridley Recreation Center (618 - 18th Street). The event described the CNI process, informational sessions on homeownership opportunities. Credit counselors discussed how to prepare and fix one's credit. It was an excellent event, with excellent attendance of approximately 30 people, who were engaged and appreciative all of the information provided. She thanked all of the partners involved – the Hampton Roads Community Action Program, Newport News Redevelopment and Housing Authority, Habitat for Humanity, the Virginia Cooperative Extension, and City staff. She gave kudos to Ms. Yugonda Sample-Jones who knocked on doors and got residents involved.

Mayor Price requested support of a Resolution of Support for Gun Violence Reduction Legislation (a copy of the draft, "Resolution of Support for Gun Violence Reduction Legislation", is attached and made a part of these minutes). He asked City Council to review the draft resolution and make consideration at the June 25, 2019 City Council Work Session.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS
ON MOTION, COUNCIL ADJOURNED 6:13 P.M.



Zina F. Middleton, MMC
Chief Deputy City Clerk

McKinley L. Price
Mayor
Presiding Officer

A true copy, teste:

City Clerk